



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: 5000 LEE HIGHWAY, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20415  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,700	08/24/2001	Mohamad A. Morsey	PC10761A	1776

7590 03/31/2003  
Paul H. Ginsburg  
Pfizer Inc.  
20th Floor  
235 East 42nd Street  
New York, NY 10017-5755

EXAMINER

HUYNH, PHUONG N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Advisory Action**

Application No.

09/938,700

Applicant(s)

MORSEY ET AL.

Examiner

Phuong Huynh

Art Unit

1644

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

## **PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires Three months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  
 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  
 (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
 (b) ☒ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  
 (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
 (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_.  
 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  
 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None.

Claim(s) objected to: None.

Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 7-13 and 39-41.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-6 and 14-38.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
 10. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 39, and 40 "corresponds to" raises new issue that would require further consideration and search. Said phrase "corresponds to" raises the issue of indefinite under 35 USC 112 second paragraph because it is not clear that Applicants intend the peptide or fusion protein to be open or close. One of ordinary skill in the art cannot appraise the metes and bounds of the claimed invention. If intends to be open, it is suggested that the claims be amended to recite "comprising". If intends to be close, it is suggested that the claims be amended to recite "consisting of". Further, the proposed amendment to claim 9 "fusion protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO. 4" raises the issue of new matter and new issue that would require further consideration. The specification discloses that SEQ ID NO. 4 is a CH3/CH4 domain of dog IgE and not a fusion protein. Applicants have not pointed out where the support for "fusion protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO. 4" comes from.

  
CHRISTINA CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600